MISSOURI WITHIN OSEP'S CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PROCESS PART B

• Missouri Self-Assessment (SA):

- Organized by cluster areas, components and indicators provided by OSEP. Began the Continuous Improvement Monitoring Process (CIMP)
- Steering committee was the Advisory Panel (SEAP)
- o Six committees met June Sept 2001
- Additional data gathering: Nov 2001-July 2002
- o Finalized and submitted to OSEP: October 2002
- o OSEP response: March 2003
 - Major findings: Monitoring programs for youth in city and county jails

• Missouri Improvement Plan (IP):

- Established benchmarks/targets and activities for 2003-04 2008-09
- o Priority areas:
 - Elementary achievement (identified by SEAP)
 - Post-secondary outcomes (identified by SEAP)
 - Monitoring programs for youth in city/county jails (area of noncompliance)
- o Part B committees identified root causes and strategies for improvement
- o Submitted to OSEP: July 2003
- o OSEP response: May 2004
 - Major findings:
 - Monitoring for city/county jails
 - Noncompliance with statewide assessment regulations
 - · Interagency collaboration
 - Impact of personnel shortages
 - Requires certain documentation by July 27, 2004, an interim progress report by November 27, 2004, and a final report no later than June 27, 2005.
- o DESE initial response dated July 26, 2004
- o DESE Interim Progress Report dated November 30, 2004
- o OSEP response: January 18, 2005 See Part B APR response below

• OSEP Verification Visit:

- o December 2003 Looked at monitoring, assessment and data collection
- OSEP response: May 2004
 - Monitoring programs for youth in city and county jails
 - Timely (within one year) correction of noncompliance at LEAs
 - Ensuring correction of all noncompliance (removing 80% standard)
 - Ensuring accuracy of data reported by LEAs
 - Ensuring that all children participate in state and district wide assessments
 - Reporting performance on MAP-A

• Missouri Annual Performance Report 2002-03(APR):

- Performance report for 2002-03 and future activities for 2003-04
- o Combines data reporting/analysis and improvement planning into one document
- o Organized by clusters, questions (components) and probes (indicators)
- o Largely a repeat of the IP since both covered the same "future" period
- o Submitted to OSEP: April 2004
- OSEP response: January 18, 2005
 - Requires items to be address in 2003-04 APR, including
 - · Correction of noncompliance with provision of services to youth in city/county jails
 - Timely enforcement of corrective actions contained in child complaints
 - Data and analysis regarding regional shortages in personnel
 - Further analysis of the effectiveness of data collection procedures
 - The results of the review of policies, procedures and practices in regards to disproportionality
 - Analysis of suspension/expulsion data and policies/procedures/practices
 - Impact of work to improve preschool outcomes including data, targets and strategies (or a plan)
 - Also to be included in 2003-04 APR, progress report on the following with final report no later than Feb. 17, 2006
 - Plan for timely correction of noncompliance identified
 - Plan for correcting noncompliance related to statewide and district-wide assessments
 - Also to be included in the 2003-04 APR with progress report by June 30, 2005, and final report no later than February 17, 2006
 - Correction of noncompliance (or plan) regarding having IEPs in place by 3rd birthday for C to B transition
 - Correction of noncompliance (or plan) regarding parental involvement in evaluation and eligibility determination